

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/791,492	· • ·	03/01/2004	Patrick Morrow	P17817	1866		
59796	7590	03/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTEL CO			POMPEY, RON EVERETT				
c/o INTELL P.O. BOX 5		LLC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MINNEAPO	OLIS, MN	N 55402	2812				
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2000	DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	<b>)</b> .	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,492		MORROW ET AL.					
Office Action Sumi	nary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ron E. Pompe		2812				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM  - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the  - Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	M THE MAILING DA e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. maximum statutory period w iod for reply will, by statute, see months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, ho vill apply and will expir cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tim re SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	J. lely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communicate</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in colored in accordance with the</li> </ul>	2b)⊠ This condition for allowan	action is non-fince except for for	ormal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 15-26 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 5) Claim(s) is/are allow 6) Claim(s) 15-17, 19-21 and 7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers  9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 M Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is old	and 24-26 is/are weed. 23 is/are rejected. to. to restriction and/or to by the Examiner and 2004 is/are: any objection to the correction	r election requir r. a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be helion is required if the	rement. or b)  objected to ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen (USPGPub 2002/0158318).

Chen discloses the limitations of:

sealing a device, the device comprising:

a substrate ([0030]);

a first die (240, fig. 4) above the substrate and spaced apart from the substrate by a first distance (the diameter of solder balls attached to contacts 246) to form a first volume between the substrate and the first die, the first die having a plurality of microelectronic devices (fig. 5, because there is no mention of forming two microelectronic devices on the upper surface of die 240 in figure 5 it is taken that one or more microelectronic device can be place on die 240 during any of the different embodiments);

a first plurality of connectors (solder balls attached to contacts 246, fig. 4) extending from the substrate to the first die;

Art Unit: 2812

a second die (220, fig. 4) above the first die and spaced apart from the first die by a second distance to form a second volume (240a, fig. 4) between the first die and the second die, the second die having a plurality of microelectronic devices (210, fig. 4);

a second plurality of connectors (272, fig. 4) extending from the first die to the second die;

wherein sealing (270, fig. 4) the device comprises substantially sealing volume between the first and second dies from a surrounding environment (note: a chip contains multiple microelectronic devices).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16-17,19-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (USPGPub 2002/0158318) as applied to claim 15 above, in view of Beyne et al. (US 6566745) and in further view of Jiang (US 677268) and Lee (US 6613606).
- 5. Chen reads on the claims as applied above, but does not disclose the claimed limitation(s) of:

wherein formation of device comprises: fabricating the first die, the fabricated first die having a first set of first portions of the second plurality of connectors;

fabricating the second die, the fabricated second die having a second set of second portions of the second plurality of connectors;

singulating the first die from a first wafer comprising a plurality of dies; singulating the second die from a second wafer comprising a plurality of dies; and

bonding the first set of first portions to the second set of second portions to connect the first die to the second die;

wherein the device is sealed after the first and second dies have been singulated from the first and second wafers;

wherein the device is sealed by a laver of underfill material and the layer of underfill material comprises filler particles having an average diameter greater than the second distance between the first die and the second die;

wherein the first distance between the substrate and the first die is in a range from about 75 microns to about 100 microns, and the second distance between the first die and the second die is in a range from about 100 nanometers to about 200 nanometers;

wherein sealing the device comprises applying a layer of underfill material extending from the substrate to the second die;

wherein the device is sealed by a layer of underfill material and the second volume between the first integrated circuit die and the second integrated circuit die and around the second plurality of connectors is substantially free of the underfill material;

wherein sealing the device comprises applying a layer of material extending from the first die to the second die.

However,

a. Beyne discloses the above claimed limitations regarding:

forming singulated dies (fig. 5C) with connectors formed on each die;

wherein the connectors are bonded together (fig. 5D,E) to connect the two dies in column(s) 7, line(s) 43-50.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Beyne with Chen, because the connectors help provide a hermetic cavity.

b. Jiang discloses the above claimed limitations regarding:

an underfill material (258, fig. 20) with filler particles (266, fig. 20) having an average diameter greater than the distance between the first and second die column(s) 3, line(s) 22-45.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Jiang with Chen and Beyne, because the filler particles will increase the hermetic seal on the cavity of Chen and Beyyne.

c. Lee discloses the above claimed limitations regarding:

sealing the device with a layer of underfill material (53, fig. 11) that extends from the substrate (50, fig. 11) to the second die column(s) 9, line(s) 32-40.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lee with Chen and Beyne, because the underfill

material that extends from the substrate to the second die improves the stability of package.

Neither Chen, Beyne, Jiang nor Lee, disclose the distance range between the substrate and the first die or the first die and the second die. However, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only ordinary skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the connectors to these ranges, because the ranges of distances are typical ranges to form connectors.

Also, Chen discloses the limitations of wherein sealing the device comprises applying a layer of material extending form the first die to the second die. However, because this limitation was dependent on a claim that was not disclosed in Chen it was not rejected in the 102 rejection above.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, either singly or in combination, fails to disclose the limitations of:

wherein the device is sealed by a layer of underfill material and the second volume between the first integrated circuit die and the second integrated circuit die and

Application/Control Number: 10/791,492 Page 7

Art Unit: 2812

around the second plurality of connectors is substantially free of the underfill material.

None of the prior art of record describes, written or drawing, wherein the underfill does not fill in volume around or not touch the second plurality of connectors.

#### Election/Restrictions

4. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 15-17 and 19-23 in the reply filed on 8-7-05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious burden for examination. This is not found persuasive because the species would require searching various different inventions, which require different search criteria and examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E. Pompey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1680. The examiner can normally be reached on compressed.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

MICHAEL LEBENTRITT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/791,492 Page 8

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ron Pompey

AU: 2812

March 17, 2006